

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,992	12/06/2001	Lawrence W. Stark	018158-018610US	1090	
20350	7590 10/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ID AND TOWNSENI	SHAY, D	SHAY, DAVID M		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CISCO, CA 94111-383	34	3739		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,992	STARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	david shay	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	os O.G. 21s.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-20 and 36-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-20 and 36-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of the correction of the option of the correction of the option of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)			

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18, 19, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Seibel.

See column 14, line 39 to column 20, line 43. Use of the method on a cornea that has been recurved will map an "error correcting change" integrating close path requires the use of at least 3 points.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 20, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiemker et al. Wiemker et al teach, art column 3, line 23 to column 4, line 55 and column 8, line 7 to column 9, line 11, performing integrals over multiple closed paths using a gradient array generated by transmitting an image of the x ray source through tissue. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the method of Wiemker et al on a head x ray in which case the source image will be transmitted through optical tissue, thus producing a method as claimed.

Claims 20, 37-39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seibel et al in combination with Odrich et al and Burns. Seibel et al teach a method such as claimed except the transmission through optical tissue, reflection from the retina and a plurality of beamlets. Burns teach a spatially resolved refractometer, which transmits images through the cornea and receives images reflected off the retina. Oderich et al teach mapping the surface contour of the cornea using a spatially resolved refractometer. It would have been obvious to employ the refractometer of Burns in the method of Odrich et al and to produce the contour data by the close integration path method of Seibel et al, since Odrich et al discusses no method to produce the contour data, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-20 and 38-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number 308-2215.

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330